**Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Ref.:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Assessor name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Assessor status:** [ ]  **Team Leader,** [ ]  **Technical Assessor**

**OBSERVATION NOTEBOOK**

# All notes should be written with a blue pen

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ISRAC Lab. no:** |  |
| **Name of organization:** |  |
| **Organization representative name:** |  |
| **Site type:** |  |
| **Assessment dates:** |  |
| **Extension requested:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Observation Notebook submitted**  | [ ]  **By paper** [ ]  **By Electronic file**  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Signature:** |  |
| **Date:** |  |

**Employees/subjects, who witnessed the assessment:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Employee/Subject** | **No. of Procedure** | **Name of Test Activity** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Preparation for the assessment:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Procedure No.** | **Name** | **Applicative document** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name and number of Uncertainty evaluation's documents** | **Name and number of Validation's documents** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Review of procedures and documents:** **🞏 There are no comments on procedures / documents reviewed****🞏 There are some comments on procedures / documents reviewed****Reference to comments issued:** |
|  |  |  |

**Non-conformities are classified by ISRAC into three levels:**

**Level 3 is assigned to non-conformities when the professional competence of the organization is not questioned but a deviation from procedures or standards is suspected.**

**Level 1 is assigned to non-conformities that disrupt directly the quality of the published results or due to a flaw in the organization’s quality system that might lead to a system failure.**

**Failure to correct the non-conformity within a specified period of time may result in the removal of accreditation**

**Level 2- A group of level 3 non-conformities, repeating the same type of problem shall be classified as level 2 non-conformity.**

**For findings in level 1 and 2 the CAB has the obligation to suggest appropriate corrective actions as a condition for closing the assessment.**

**Comment – when a finding is not in the scope of accreditation or the assessor chooses to comment on something, it is classified as a comment.**

**Comment: *the classification is given during the assessment by each assessor in its field.***

**If there is disagreement over the classification of the non-conformity than the Team Leader’s opinion rules**

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Highlights for the Assessment Report Clause and question to be addressed |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 6.2****- Is it your impression that the employees have demonstrated suitable practices?****- Is it your impression that employees are familiar with the limitations of the testing/ calibration methods?****- What are your comments on group leaders and their technical expertise?** |  |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 6.3** **Environnemental conditions, accommodation** |  |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 7.2****Is it your impression that the organization documents presented are clear and present appropriate testing/ calibration methods?** |  |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 7.2****Are the methods validated? Please comment on comprehensiveness and correctness of method validations and on the quality of relevant records?** |  |
| Clause and question to be addressed  | Highlights for the Assessment Report |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 7.6****Are the uncertainty calculations in place? Please comment on the correctness and comprehensiveness of the uncertainty calculations.****Concerning calibration laboratories: if the CMC values presented are realistic and justified? Are they presented fully and correctly in the accreditation schedule?** |  |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 6.4** **Laboratory equipment, conditions, calibrations, history** |  |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 6.5** **Traceability - Please comment on traceability imported from higher level calibration laboratories and the dissemination inside the laboratory, when relevant** |  |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 7.11** **Control of data** |  |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 7.7** **Assuring the quality of results (PT, ILC any other means)** |  |
| Clause and question to be addressed  | Highlights for the Assessment Report |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 8.4** **- Quality and correctness of technical records.****-Correctness and clearness of scope of accreditation** |  |
| **ISO/IEC 17025 clause 7.8** **Do the testing/ calibration certificates meet the accreditation standard / regulatory requirements?**  |  |
| **Implementation of corrective actions from previous visit**  |  |
| **Is the activity presented eligible for accreditation?** **Yes / No Detail:** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Highlights for the next assessment** |
|  |

**Assessment notes and records - Please write as legible as possible**
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